# Identification of impacts of climate change and direct human activities on streamflow in Weihe River Basin in Northwest China

Fan Jingjing<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Huang Qiang<sup>2</sup>, Liu Dengfeng<sup>2</sup>

(1. Hebei University of Engineering, Handan 056038, China;

2. State Key Laboratory Base of Eco-Hydraulic Engineering in Arid Area, Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, China)

**Abstract:** Climate change and human activities make major influences on hydrology, which are known to have important impacts on streamflow variation. Therefore, it is critically important to identify how climate change and human activities will impact streamflow variation. Thus, the goal of this study is to identify the impacts of climate change and direct human activities on annual streamflow at four hydrologic stations in the Weihe River basin of China, with the estimation of evaporation based on the Budyko hypothesis. The Mann-Kendall test was employed to detect the break points of the four stations. According to the occurrence time of break points, the data series were divided into two periods: pre-change period (1960-1984) and post-change (1985-2010) period. The parameter of one-parameter Budyko-type model was calibrated with observed data during the pre-change period, with the  $R^2$  values ranged from 0.95 to 0.97 and the NSE values ranged from 0.80 to 0.94, and the high  $R^2$  and Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency coefficient shows the model has good performance. The contribution ratios of climate change impacts on decreasing streamflow were 37%, 23%, 57% and 43%, and those of the impacts of direct human activities were 63%, 77%, 43% and 57% for the Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian station, respectively. Both the climate change and direct human activities have positive impacts on streamflow decrease at all of the four stations, and the direct human activities are the main factor causing the decrease of annual streamflow.

**Keywords:** streamflow, climate change; human activities; evaporation, hydrological cycle, watershed, Budyko hypothesis, Weihe River basin

DOI: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20171004.2313

**Citation:** Fan J J, Huang Q, Liu D F. Identification of impacts of climate change and direct human activities on streamflow in Weihe River Basin in Northwest China. Int J Agric & Biol Eng, 2017; 10(4): 119–129.

### **1** Introduction

Complex interactions occur regarding the impacts of climate change and human activities on the hydrological cycle and water resources system<sup>[1,2]</sup>. Shifts in climate

\*Corresponding author: Fan Jingjing, PhD, Lecturer, majored in water resources and hydroelectric engineering, hydrology and water resources, Institute of Water Resources and Hydro-electric Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering. No. 178 South Guangming Road, Hanshan District, Handan 056038, Hebei, China. Email: fj0722@163.com.

characteristics, such as changes in precipitation, temperature and evapotranspiration, can alter the streamflow<sup>[3]</sup>. Human activities can alter streamflow by directly affecting climate conditions and influencing the hydrological cycle<sup>[3]</sup>. Direct human activity effects include land use and land cover change, reservoir construction, irrigation area management and soil conservation practice<sup>[4-7]</sup>.

The effects of climate change and human activities on streamflow have been investigated by many researchers through a series of studies in many regions<sup>[8,9]</sup>. For example, Schilling et al.<sup>[10]</sup> identified the effect of land use/ land cover impacts in the Mississipi River, while Wang et al.<sup>[11]</sup> investigated the climate change and human impacts in the Yellow River. Extensive investigations have been performed with linking climate change

Received date: 2015-12-29 Accepted date: 2017-02-23

**Biography: Huang Qiang**, PhD, Professor, majored in water resources and hydroelectric engineering, hydrology and water resources, Email: wresh@mail.xaut.edu; **Liu Dengfeng**, PhD, Associate Professor, majored in water resources and hydroelectric engineering, hydrology and water resources, Email: ldfchn@126.com.

projections and/or land use change scenarios with ecohydrological models, including dozens of studies that have been performed using the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model<sup>[12,13]</sup>; e.g., see review studies compiled by Gassman et al.<sup>[14]</sup> and Krysanova and White<sup>[15]</sup>.

Zuo et al.<sup>[16]</sup> guantified a noticeable decrease in the monthly streamflow of the Weihe River, located in central China, by using a water balance model that was executed on the basis of measurements obtained at 13 hydrological gauging sites during the period of 1960 to 2009. The results indicated that the main driving factors that resulted in decreased streamflow in the Weihe River Linjiacun, Xianyang and Zhangjiashan subwatersheds resulted from human activities. By developing an improved climate elasticity method, Zhan et al.<sup>[17]</sup> used streamflow data of Weihe River basin to determine the effects of climate change and human activities on the streamflow change, and they found that 71%-78% of the contributions to the decreasing runoff were due to human activities. Wang et al.<sup>[18]</sup> used a distributed time-variant gain model to identify the effects of climate change and human impact on streamflow change of the Yellow River basin in China. At the Laohahe Basin, Jiang et al.<sup>[19]</sup> quantified the two effects on streamflow by using the VIC-3L model. In all of these studies, hydrological models have been used to assess the effects of climate change and human activities on streamflow change, however, these hydrological models had significant uncertainty which caused by the parameter setting, most of them have 2, 3 or even more parameters, as known to all, different parameters control different functions of models, and its deviation will cause complex uncertainty of the system.

The Weihe River is the largest tributary of the Yellow River in China and supplies approximately 85% of the water supply to over 22 million people in Xi'an, Baoji, Xianyang and other cities<sup>[20]</sup>. It is the major water resource for the Guanzhong Plain (Central Shaanxi Plain) region, which is also a key political, cultural, and economic center in western China. The Guanzhong Plain region comprised 64%, 56% and 72% of the overall Shaanxi province population, arable land and irrigated

area, respectively, in 2006. However, the total production of water in Shaanxi Province was only 6.369 billion  $m^3$ , which is equivalent to just 25.5% and 29.4% of the per capita consumption at the province level, which is far below the internationally recognized per capita consumption water level. The region lies mainly in arid and semi-arid ecoregions, where water resources Due to the water resources shortage are limited. problem, the government has invested human and financial resources to the water transfer project from south to north, i.e. from Hanjiang River to Weihe River in Shaanxi Province<sup>[21]</sup>. In addition, studies have indicated that the streamflow during the 20<sup>th</sup> century in the Weihe River basin has been decreasing significantly<sup>[22-24]</sup>. Therefore, it is important to quantify the effects of climate change and human activities on streamflow variation, and to determine the main influences of streamflow decrease, for the Weihe River.

Recently, on the basis of Budyko-type equations<sup>[25-27]</sup>. which consider the hydrological processes during long period both the water and energy constraints, are widely used in quantifying the impacts of climate change and human activities on streamflow change<sup>[28,29]</sup>. According to the Budyko hypothesis equation, which was proposed by Fu<sup>[30]</sup>, and SVM-based model. Huang et al.<sup>[31]</sup> analyzed the attribution of runoff changes to climate change and human activities in Weihe River. Jiang et al.<sup>[32]</sup> employed four single-parameter Budyko-type equations to assess the impacts of climate change and human activities on streamflow change in Weihe River basin. Wang et al.<sup>[33]</sup> discussed the Budyko framework processes effects on water balance and the Budyko curve type changes under climate change. Yuan et al.<sup>[34]</sup> used the Budyko-type equation (Budyko)<sup>[25]</sup> to estimate climate variability and human activities impacts on streamflow change in Dongting Lake. In aiming to identify the impacts of climate change and human activities on runoff change, it is generally to obtain a change point to divide the long-term series into two or more periods, by choosing the first period as baseline (pre-change period), and choosing the other ones as impact periods (post-change period), then used some models to separate the changes between the two periods into the two impacts<sup>[35]</sup>. The non-parametric method Mann-Kendall method, which was developed early in 1945<sup>[36,37]</sup>, was widely applied in detecting the change points in hydrological area. Mann-Kendall test was used to detect the change points of streamflow for choosing the baseline and impact periods reasonably. Fan et al.<sup>[38]</sup> used Mann-kendall test find the change point on annual runoff series in Weihe River. Guo et al.<sup>[39]</sup> detected the change point on discharge of Weihe River using Mann-kendall test. Li et al.<sup>[40]</sup> applied Mann-Kendall test to assess the change point on annual temperature in Shangqiu City, China.

In this study, the main objectives are to: (1) identify the change points on annual streamflow across four hydrological stations in the Weihe River basin, and separate the long-term streamflow series into pre-change and post-change period; (2) assess the impacts of climate change and human activities on streamflow change by using the one-parameter Budyko-type equation; (3) discuss the contributions of climate change and human activities to streamflow. The existence of these results has profound implications to understand the changes of water balance and the water management in the study region and the similar regions.

### 2 Study area and observations

The Weihe River is the largest tributary of the Yellow River in China and is the main river of Shaanxi Province, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region and Gansu Province (Figure 1). The catchment drains an area of 135 000 km<sup>2</sup> and the main stream is 818 km long. The mean annual natural runoff is 10.04 billion m<sup>3</sup>, mean annual precipitation is 610 mm and the mean annual temperature ranges from 7.8°C to 13.5°C across the whole basin.

The impacts of human activities and climate change on streamflow were studied at four hydrological stations and 22 rain gauges in the Weihe River basin which have measured streamflow, precipitation and evaporation data. The four stations (Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian) are located on the main stream of the Weihe River (Figure 1 and Table 1). The 22 rain gauges are distributed all over the whole Weihe River basin (Figure 1). The daily data from 1960 to 2010 include streamflow data observed at hydrological stations, and daily precipitation data recorded at the rain gauges in controlled catchment<sup>[20]</sup>. The area precipitation was gathered from the 22 rain gauges in the catchment.



Figure 1 Location of study stations four hydrologic stations and 22 rainfall gauges within the Weihe River basin

 Table 1
 Locations and catchment of four stations in the

 Weihe River basin in China

Name	Station	Longitude I	Latitude/N	Catchment/km <sup>2</sup>
1	Linjiacun	107.05	34.38	30.611
2	Xianyang	108.70	34.32	46.827
3	Lintong	109.12	34.26	97.299
4	Huaxian	109.77	34.58	106.498

## 3 Methods

#### 3.1 One-parameter Budyko model

Based on the Proportionality Hypothesis, Wang and Tang<sup>[25]</sup> proposed a Budyko-type equation with only one parameter, Huang et al.<sup>[31]</sup> used the original equation, and Jiang et al.<sup>[32]</sup> applied a four parameter equation. The one-parameter Budyko equation was used in this study. Wang and Tang<sup>[25]</sup> implemented a model to clarify the precipitation decomposing into three parts, i.e. effective precipitation, continuing evaporation and runoff in a long period time, where the soil water storage change can be ignored. Wang and Tang<sup>[41]</sup> denoted the evaporation  $E_o$  as initial evaporation, which has no relation with runoff. Savenije<sup>[42]</sup> defined initial evaporation as evaporation that originates from leaf interception, forest floor and the temporary storage in pools. The other part, *P*- $E_o$ , is

denoted as effective precipitation, which is related with runoff, and then decomposed into runoff (Q) and continuing evaporation ( $E_c$ ). The total evaporation is the sum of  $E_o$  and  $E_c$ . Potential evaporation is denoted as  $E_p$ , and the values of  $E_p$ - $E_o$  is denoted as effective potential evaporation. The value for runoff can be computed as  $P-E_o$ . The relation can be explained as follows:

$$\frac{E - E_o}{E_p - E_o} = \frac{P - E}{P - E_o} \tag{1}$$

$$P = \begin{cases} E_o \\ P - E_o = \begin{cases} E_c & E_p - E_o \\ Q & P - E_o \end{cases}$$
(2)

Based on the Equation (1), According to Wang and Tang<sup>[41]</sup>, the one-parameter Budyko-type equation can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{E}{P} = \frac{1 + E_p / P - \sqrt{(1 + E_p / P)^2 - 4\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)E_p / P}}{2\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)}$$
(3)

where,  $\varepsilon$  is the ratio ( $\varepsilon = \frac{\gamma}{H}$ ) of initial evaporation ratio ( $\gamma = \frac{E_o}{W}$ , W is soil wetting) to the Horton index ( $H = \frac{E}{W}$ , see H details in Troch et al.<sup>[43]</sup>). And  $\varepsilon$  can be interpreted as  $\varepsilon = \frac{E_o}{E}$  and ranges from 0 to 1. The one-parameter Budyko-type Equation can satisfy the Budyko Curve essential boundary condition:  $\frac{E}{P} \rightarrow 0$ , as

 $\frac{E_p}{P} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{E}{P} \rightarrow 1$ , as  $\frac{E_p}{P} \rightarrow \infty$ , and it also satisfies the Thomas's "*abcd*" model<sup>[44]</sup> for monthly scale. The one-parameter Budyko-type Equation interpreted the relationship of the generalized Proportionality Hypothesis to the Budyko Curve well.

# **3.2** Decomposing method for quantifying the climate change and direct human activities impacts

Wang and Hejazi<sup>[45]</sup> proposed a simple method to categorize long-term annual streamflow change into two partitions: climate-induced and direct human-induced. The climate-induced part is caused by changes in precipitation and evaporation, and the direct human-induced streamflow change is caused by the changes of precipitation portioning into runoff and

evaporation, Figure 2 shows the decomposing method proposed by Wang and Hejazi<sup>[45]</sup> to quantify the impacts of climate change and direct human activities on streamflow, based on the original Budyko Curve<sup>[25]</sup>.



Figure 2 Decomposing method to quantify the climate and human activities impacts

The hypothesis is based on the relationship that as the impacts of climate change and direct human activities change in a watershed, the resulting effects could shift point A (pre-change period) to point B (post-change period) over time (Figure 2). The dryness index and the evaporation ratio would also change from  $E_{p1}/P_1$  and  $E_1/P_1$  in the pre-change period to  $E_{p2}/P_2$  and  $E_2/P_2$  in the post-change period, respectively. However, Point A would shift along the original Budyko-type Curve to point C (with dryness index), and the evaporation ratio would change from  $E_{p1}/P_1$  and  $E_1/P_1$  to  $E_{p2}/P_2$  and  $E'_2/P_2$ , respectively, if climate is the only factor changing in the watershed (Figure2). The climate change effects are the same between point B and point C because the vertical values for both points are the same (Figure 2). So the precipitation value at point C is still equal to  $P_2$ . Only climate change will cause the shift from point A to point C (Figure 2) in both the horizontal and vertical directions, i.e., from  $E_{p1}/P_1$  to  $E_{p2}/P_2$  (horizontal) and  $E_1/P_1$  to  $E'_2/P_2$ (vertical). From point B to point C, only direct human activities will influence the changes in the vertical direction; i.e., from  $E_2/P_2$  to  $E'_2/P_2$ ; There is no horizontal change because the horizontal values of the two points are the same  $(E_{p2}/P_2)$ . Finally, the vertical change from point A to B  $(E_1/P_1$  to  $E_2/P_2)$  occurs due to both direct human activities and climate change impacts (Figure 2).

The climate change impacts will affect both the horizontal and vertical components, but changes in direct

human activities will only impact vertical components. Therefore, the first step is to compute the impacts of direct human activities. In addition, the soil water storage change can be ignored during the long-term period, hence, the streamflow can be explained as follows:

$$Q = P(1 - E / P) \tag{4}$$

Similarly, the contribution of direct human and climate change on streamflow change can be computed as:

$$\Delta Q^{h} = P_2 \left( \frac{E'_2}{P_2} - \frac{E_2}{P_2} \right)$$
(5)

$$\Delta Q^c = \Delta Q - \Delta Q^h \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta Q = Q_2 - Q_1 \tag{7}$$

where,  $\Delta Q^h$  and  $\Delta Q^c$  are the streamflow changes caused by direct human activities and climate change impacts, respectively.  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are the observed streamflow during the pre-change period and post-change periods, respectively. The values of  $\Delta Q^h$  (or  $\Delta Q^c$ ) can be positive or negative, which reflects positive or negative effects on streamflow, respectively, due to direct human activities.

The percentage of the contribution of climate change (C) and direct human activities (H) can be estimated using the climate sensitivity method<sup>[41]</sup>:

$$C = 100(\Delta Q^c / \Delta Q) \tag{8}$$

$$H = 100(\Delta Q^h / \Delta Q) \tag{9}$$

#### 3.3 Mann-Kendall test

The Mann-Kendall test<sup>[34,35]</sup> is a non-parametric statistical test method, which is widely used to detect the break points of time series. It can be applied in the context of non-normality and censoring data, is simple to compute and is high efficiencent<sup>[46,47]</sup>. The test statistic  $(UF_k)$  is computed as follows:

$$UF_{k} = \frac{S_{k} - E(S_{k})}{\sqrt{var(S_{k})}}, \quad (k=1,2,...,n)$$
(10)

$$S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i \quad (k=2,3,...,n)$$
 (11)

$$r_{i} = \begin{cases} +1, \ while \ x_{i} > x_{j} \\ 0, \ while \ x_{i} \le x_{j} \end{cases} (j=1, 2, ..., n)$$
(12)

$$\begin{cases} E(S_k) = \frac{k(k-1)}{4} \\ var(S_k) = \frac{k(k-1)(2k+5)}{72} \end{cases} (k=2, 3, ..., n) \quad (13) \end{cases}$$

where,  $x_i$  is the variable of a time series  $X(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1})$ . N is the number of the series.  $R_i$  is the ranks of the observation  $x_i$ . As shown in Equation (11), the test statistic depends on the rank of the observations and no relating with the real values.  $E(S_k)$  and  $var(S_k)$  are the mean and variance values of  $S_k$ , respectively.

In the Mann-Kendall test,  $UB_k = UF'_k$ ,  $UF'_k$  is computed by using Equation (10) with the inverse time series ( $x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_1$ ).  $\alpha$  is the significance level for the test, and  $U_{(\alpha/2)}$  is the standard normal deviates. After got the  $UF_k$  and  $UB_k$  series, in this research, set  $\alpha$ =0.05,  $U_{\alpha/2}$ =  $\pm 1.96$ , plot all the  $UF_k$ ,  $UB_k$ ,  $\pm 1.96$  and  $\pm 1.96$  in one coordinate system. If the intersection point located in the limits of the significance level, a significant change point exists, and the series is separate into two segments by the change point. Otherwise, it indicating no change point exists.

#### 3.4 Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency coefficient

The Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) coefficient<sup>[48]</sup> is computed as follows:

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs}^{i} - Q_{est}^{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs}^{i} - Q_{ave}^{i})^{2}}$$
(14)

where,  $Q_{obs}$  is the measured runoff;  $Q_{est}$  is the estimated runoff; and  $Q_{ave}$  is the mean value of the measured runoff. The *NSE* can range from  $-\infty$  to 1. Values between 0.0 to 1.0 is assumed to indicate satisfactory model performance, the model performance is satisfactory based on previously suggested model evaluation criteria, and values  $\leq 0.0$  indicates unacceptable performance<sup>[49,50]</sup>.

Table 2 The NSE values distribution based on Moriasi

Temporal scale	Very good	Good	Satisfactory	Not satisfactory
annual	>0.75	0.60≤NSE≤0.75	0.50 <nse<0.60< td=""><td>≤0.50</td></nse<0.60<>	≤0.50
monthly	>0.85	0.70≤NSE≤0.85	0.55 <nse<0.7< td=""><td>≤0.55</td></nse<0.7<>	≤0.55
daily	>0.80	$0.70 \leq NSE \leq 0.80$	0.50 <nse<0.7< td=""><td>≤0.50</td></nse<0.7<>	≤0.50

# 4 Results and discussion

#### 4.1 Streamflow trend and break point detection

The results of the Mann-Kendall test are shown in Figure 3 based on using observed annual streamflow for the four stations (Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian) during the entire study period of 1960 to 2010. The test results exhibited a significant decreasing trend in annual streamflow for all four stations (Figure 3), with a significance level of  $\alpha$ =0.05. And the break points for the streamflow at Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian were 1990, 1985, 1986 and 1987, respectively.

Generally, the results in this study are consistent with previous investigations for the Weihe River basin; i.e., that the break points were detected in the 1990s<sup>[11,12]</sup>.



Figure 3 Variation detected by Mann-Kendall test results for mean annual streamflow at four stations across the Weihe River basin

According to the test results, the break points began in 1985 indicating that the pre-change point period occurred during 1960 to 1984. Thus, this period is used as the baseline period for the calibration and validation of the model parameters, and the post-change period after 1985 is used as the runoff changed period.

#### 4.2 Model developed

The one-parameter Budyko-type model was used to estimate streamflow for the Weihe River basin at annual scale. The model has only one parameter ( $\varepsilon$ ) which needs to be calibrated with the optimization objective function, which is defined as the minimization of the sum of squares of the difference between the modelled ( $Q_{mod,i}$ ) and recorded ( $Q_{rec,i}$ ) annual streamflow:

$$OBJ = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Q_{rec,i} - Q_{mod,i})^2$$
(15)

where,  $Q_{mod,i}$  and  $Q_{rec,i}$  are the values of modelled and recorded annual streamflow, respectively, and N is the number of the series.

The values of the model parameter ( $\varepsilon$ ) are estimated based on the observed data from 1960 to 1979 to develop a satisfactory model for each of the four stations. A calibration period of 20 years was selected (1960 to 1979), which is 80% of the baseline period and is intended to minimize the uncertainty of limited data during the pre-change period (1960-1984). The remaining five years (1980-1984) were selected as the validation period.

The fitted parameter values for all four Weihe River stations are listed in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, all four estimated values of  $\varepsilon$  are between 0-1, and thus are acceptable values. The value of  $\varepsilon$  indicates a strong spatial change, which gradually increase from the minimum value 0.61 at the upstream location (Linjiacun station) to the maximum value of 0.75 at the downstream location controlled by Huaxian station.

over the four stations							
Site	Mean runoff/mm	Mean $E_p$ /mm	Mean P /mm	Mean $E_p/P$	З		
Linjiacun	73.84	780.12	513.22	1.57	0.61		
Xianyang	80.68	795.59	579.91	1.42	0.65		
Lintong	66.10	822.31	582.30	1.46	0.74		
Huaxian	60.67	824.80	589.35	1.45	0.75		

Table 2Parameters of one-parameter Budyko-type models  $\varepsilon$ over the four stations

Figure 4 shows the comparison between modeled and observed evaporation values for the four stations during 1960 to 1985. The data points are clustered around the

1:1 slope lines for all of the stations, indicating that the modeled evaporation levels were consistent with the measured evaporation values. The  $R^2$  and NSE values are calculated during the validation period 1980-1984, and shown in Table 3. The  $R^2$  values ranged from 0.95 to 0.97, and the NSE values ranged from 0.80 to 0.94. The high  $R^2$  and NSE values indicate strong model performance for all four stations. The application results show that the one-parameter Budyko-type model were acceptable for annual streamflow estimation.



Figure 4 Comparison of estimated and observed values of evaporation at all the four stations

Table 3The  $R^2$  and Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient for theone-parameter Budyko-type models based on the validation datafrom 1980 to 1984 at each station during Weihe River basin

Station	$R^2$	NSE
Linjiacun	0.97	0.94
Xianyang	0.95	0.80
Lintong	0.96	0.90
Huaxian	0.96	0.89

4.3 Impacts of climate change and direct human activities on streamflow

The decomposing method based on the Budyko

Hypothesis<sup>[25]</sup> is adopted here to quantify the impacts of climate change and direct human activities on decreasing Weihe River streamflow. The computed differences between the modeled streamflow and the pre-change period streamflow indicate that the Weihe River streamflow has been decreasing due to climate change and direct human activities. The impacts of climate change and direct human activities on decreased streamflow during the post-change period are listed in Table 4.

Site	Doniod	Observed runoff /mm	Simulated runoff /mm	Total change	Human impact		Climate change	
	Period			/mm	mm	%	mm	%
Linjiacun	1960-1984	99.58	98.36					
	1985-2010	49.09	80.85	50.50	31.76	63	18.74	37
Xianyang	1960-1984	102.63	108.35					
	1985-2010	49.32	90.62	53.31	41.29	77	12.02	23
Lintong	1960-1984	78.84	74.23					
	1985-2010	47.89	61.23	30.95	13.33	43	17.61	57
Huaxian	1960-1984	73.47	73.84					
	1985-2010	42.38	60.07	31.09	17.69	57	13.40	43

Table 4 Impacts of climate change and human activities based on the variation period point 1985 across Weihe River basin

The impacts of climate change on decreasing streamflow are relatively weak for the Linjiacun, Xianyang and Huaxian stations, as evidenced by overall streamflow contributions of 37%, 23% and 43%, respectively. In contrast, stronger climate change impacts were predicted for the Lintong station with a contribution of 57% (Table 4). Compared with the impacts of climate change, strong impacts of direct human activities on streamflow were estimated for the Linjiacun, Xianyang and Huaxian stations with contributions of 63%, 77% and 57%, respectively, but the direct human activities effects are relatively weak for the Lintong station (contribution of 43%). These results confirm that both components have positive effects and result in decreased Weihe River streamflow, but the impacts of direct human activities are stronger than climate change.

#### 4.4 Discussion

Assessment of direct human activities and climate change on Weihe River streamflow in this study showed that both factors had a significant effect. The streamflow and climate change comparison results between the post-change period (1985-2010) and the pre-change period (1960-1984) for all four Weihe River hydrological stations are summarized in Table 5. From Table 5, annual streamflow in the post-change period obviously decreased, with predicted decreases of 51%, 49%, 36% and 39% at the Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian stations, respectively, as compared to pre-change period streamflow. The analysis showed that annual actual evaporation has slightly decreased during the post-change period, with percentage decreases ranging from 1% to 5% (Table 5). Annual precipitation during the post-change period was also estimated to have decreased by about 10% at all four stations (Table 5).

 Table 5
 Changes of streamflow, actual evaporation and precipitation in post-change period compared with that of values in pre-change period

Station	Streamflow		Actual evaporation		Precipitation	
	Change /mm	Percentage /%	Change /mm	Percentage /%	Change /mm	Percentage /%
Linjiacun	50.50	51	5.61	1	56.14	10
Xianyang	52.59	49	7.66	2	58.19	10
Lintong	29.56	36	25.68	5	58.08	9
Huaxian	29.93	39	26.81	5	58.85	10

Direct human activities, such as hyper-irrigation and water and soil conservation projects, have increased significantly in the Shaanxi Province region. There are now 9 hyper-irrigation areas covering about 5924 km<sup>2</sup>, 14 reservoirs, almost 1200 pump stations and channels extending a total length of 3571 m<sup>[20]</sup> in the province, due to growing population and food production requirements. At the same time, effective precipitation for streamflow generation has declined and other land use changes have occurred, such as terracing of cropland landscapes which results in surface runoff reductions of up to 65% compared to sloping cultivated land<sup>[51]</sup>. All of these factors result in direct human activities having a greater impact on Weihe River streamflow change as compared to the effects of climate change, during the post-change period.

Huang et al.<sup>[31]</sup> investigated the attributions to climate change and human activities on streamflow changes in 1970-2008 in Weihe River basin by using Budyko hypothesis and SVM-based model. Huang et al.<sup>[31]</sup> found that the relative contributions of human activities at Linjiacun and Huaxian Stations are 57.8%, 69.8% and 54.7%, 65.3%, respectively, which is very similar with our findings in these two stations. Based on the sensitivity-based method and dynamic water balance model, Zuo et al.<sup>[16]</sup> also separated the impacts of climate change and human activities on streamflow change in 1960-2009 in Weihe River, they found that the contributions of human activities on streamflow in Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong, and Huaxian stations are 65%, 55%, 33% and 43%, respectively, which are slightly lower than our results. Difference in method, models and time period caused the slight different quantitative inter-comparison with our findings. Nevertheless, the impacts of human activities on streamflow change higher than climate change are consistent with our study.

# 5 Conclusions

Climate change and direct human activities are the major factors that impact hydrological systems and are known to have impacts on streamflow change. We computed the streamflow for a pre-change period (1960-1984) for the Weihe River in central China using a one-parameter Budyko model with an assumption of no direct human activities. The differences between the modeled streamflow and the pre-change period streamflow showed that Weihe River streamflow has been decreasing due to climate change effects. And the differences between the modeled streamflow and the observed streamflow in post-change period further indicated that the decreasing streamflow trends are also being caused by direct human activities. The main conclusions are shown as follows:

(1) A Mann-Kendall test was used to detect the streamflow break points for all four stations, which were determined to be 1990, 1985, 1986 and 1987 for the Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian stations, respectively. The Mann-Kendall test results also showed that the observed annual streamflow has declined significantly during the study period. The high  $R^2$  and NSE statistics confirm that the one-parameter Budyko-type model performed well. In addition, the computed  $\varepsilon$  parameter values show a strong spatial pattern between the four hydrological stations.

(2) The contribution ratios of climate change impacts on decreasing streamflow were 37%, 23%, 57% and 43% for the Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian stations, respectively. In comparison, the contribution ratios of impacts of direct human activities were 63%, 77%, 43% and 57% for the Linjiacun, Xianyang, Lintong and Huaxian stations, respectively. These results reveal that direct human activities have overall greater impacts on the decreasing streamflow trends as compared to climate change.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Granted No: 51190093, 51179149, 51179148), National Program on Key Basic Research Project (973 Program) in China (2011CB403306-3), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0933), governmental public industry research special funds for projects (201501058) and China Scholarship Council.

# [References]

- Barnett T P, Pierce D W, Hidalgo H G, Bonfils C, Santer B D, Das T, et al. Human-induced changes in the hydrology of the western United States. Science, 2008; 319: 1080–1083.
- [2] Wagener T, Sivapalan M, Troch P A, McGlynn B L, Harman C J, Gupta H V, et al. The future of hydrology: An evolving science for a changing world. Water Resources Research, 2010; 46(5): 1369–1377.
- [3] Karl T R, Knight R W, Easterling D R, Quayle R G. Indices of climate change for the United States. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 1996; 77: 278–292.
- [4] Christensen N S, Wood A W, Voisin N, Lettenmaier D P, Palmer R N. The effects of climate change on the hydrology and water resources of the Colorado River basin. Climate Change, 2004; 62(1): 337–363.
- [5] Rossi A, Massei N, Laignel B, Sebag D, Copard Y. The response of the Mississippi River to climate fluctuations and reservoir construction as indicated by wavelet analysis of streamflow and suspended-sediment load, 1950–1975. Journal of Hydrology, 2009; 377: 237–244.
- [6] Schilling K E, Chan K, Liu H, Zhang Y. Quantifying the effect of land use land cover change on increasing discharge in the Upper Mississippi River. Journal of Hydrology, 2010; 387: 343–345.
- [7] Ma Z, Kang S, Zhang L, Tong L, Su X Analysis of impacts of climate variability and human activity on streamflow for a river basin in arid region of northwest China. Journal of Hydrology, 2008; 352: 239–249.
- [8] Liu D, Chen X, Lian Y, Lou Z. Impacts of climate change

and human activities on surface runoff in the Dongjiang River Basin of China. Hydrology Process, 2010; 24: 1487–1495.

- [9] Zhang A, Zhang C, Fu G, Wang B, Bao Z, Zheng H. Assessments of impacts of climate change and human activities on runoff with SWAT for the Huifa River Basin, Northeast China. Water Resources Management, 2012; 26(8): 2199–2217.
- [10] Schilling K E, Chan K, Liu H, Zhang Y. Quantifying the effect of land use land cover change on increasing discharge in the Upper Mississippi River. Journal of Hydrology, 2010; 387: 343–345.
- [11] Wang D, Cai X. Recession slope curve analysis under human interferences. Advances in Water Resources, 2010; 33(9): 1053–1061.
- [12] Hao X, Chen Y, Xu C, Li W. Impacts of climate change and human activities on the surface runoff in the Tarim River Basin over the last fifty years. Water Resource Management, 2008; 22: 1159–1171.
- [13] Van Liew M W, Feng S, Pathak T B. Climate change impacts on streamflow, water quality, and best management practices for the Shell and Logan Creek Watersheds in Nebraska, USA. Int J Agric & Biol Eng, 2012; 5(1): 13.
- [14] Gassman P, Reyes M, Green C H, Arnold J G. The soil and water assessment tool: historical development, applications, and future research directions. Transactions of the ASABE, 2007; 50(4): 1211–1250.
- [15] Krysanova V, White M. Advances in water resources assessment with SWAT—An overview. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 2015; 60(5): 771–783.
- [16] Zuo D, Xu Z, Wu W, Zhao J, Zhao F. Identification of streamflow response to climate change and human activities in the Wei River Basin, China. Water Resources Management, 2014; 28: 833–851.
- [17] Zhan C, Jiang S, Sun F, Jia Y, Niu C, Yue W. Quantitative contribution of climate change and human activities to runoff changes in the Wei River basin, China. Hydrology and Earth System Science, 2014; 18: 3069–3077.
- [18] Wang G S, Xia J, Chen J. Quantification of effects of climate variations and human activities on runoff by a monthly water balance model: a case study of the Chaobai River basin in Northern China. Water Resour Res 45, 2009; W00A11.
- [19] Jiang S H, Ren L L, Yong B, Singh V P, Yang X L, Yuan F. Quantifying the effects of climate variability and human activities on runoff from the Laohahe basin in Northern China using three different methods. Hydrol Process, 2011; 25(16): 2492–2505.
- [20] Chang J X, Wang Y M, Istanbulluoglu E, Bai T, Huang Q, Yang D W, Huang S Z. Impact of climate change and human activities on runoff in the Weihe River Basin, China.

Quaternary International, 2015; 380-381: 169-179.

- [21] Change J X, Jiang J. Water dispatch of the south to north water transfer project in Shaanxi Province. Journal of Natural Resources, 2011; 26(1): 110–118. (in Chinese)
- [22] He X, Li Z, Hao M, Tang K, Zheng F. Down-scale analysis for water scarcity in response to soil water conservation on Loess Plateau of China. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 2003; 94(3): 355–361.
- [23] Yang H, Jia S. Meeting the basin closure of the Yellow River in China. International Journal of Water Resources Development, 2008; 24(2): 265–274.
- [24] Wei H Y, Li J, Wang J, Tian P. Analysis on runoff trend and influence factors in Weihe River Basin. Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation, 2008; 28(1): 76–80. (in Chinese)
- [25] Budyko M I. Climate and Life. Academic, New York, 1974; 508.
- [26] Budyko M I. The heat balance of the earth's surface. Washington: US Dept. of Commerce, Weather Bureau, 1958.
- [27] Budyko M I. Evaporation under natural conditions (Isparenie v estestvennykh usloviyakh; Translated from Russian and edited by IPST staff). Jerusalem, Israel Program for Scientific Translations; available from the Office of Technical Services, US Dept. of Commerce, 1963, Washington.
- [28] Gardner L R. Assessing the effect of climate change on mean annual runoff. Journal of Hydrology, 2009; 379(3): 351–359.
- [29] Roderick M L, Farquhar G D. A simple framework for relating variations in runoff to variations in climatic conditions and catchment properties, Water Resources Research, 2011; 47(12): W00G07.
- [30] Fu B P. On the calculation of the evaporation from land surface. Scientia Atmospherica Sinica1, 1981; 5(1): 23–31. (in Chinese)
- [31] Huang S, Chang J, Huang Q, Chen Y, Leng G. Quantifying the relative contribution of climate and human impacts on runoff change Based on the Budyko Hypothesis and SVM model. Water Resources Management, 2016; 30(7): 2377–2390.
- [32] Jiang C, Xiong L, Wang D, Liu P, Guo S L, Xu C Y. Separating the impacts of climate change and human activities on runoff using the Budyko-type equations with time-varying parameters. Journal of Hydrology, 2015; 522: 326–338.
- [33] Wang C, Wang S, Fu B, Zhang L. Advances in hydrological modelling with the Budyko framework: A review. Progress in Physical Geography, 2016: 0309133315620997.
- [34] Yuan Y J, Zhang C, Zeng G M, Liang J, Guo S L, Huang L, et al. Quantitative assessment of the contribution of climate variability and human activity to streamflow alteration in

Dongting Lake, China. Hydrological Processes, 2016; 30(12): 1929–1939.

- [35] Saifullah M, Li Z, Li Q, Zaman M, Hashim S. Quantitative estimation of the impact of precipitation and land surface change on hydrological processes through statistical modeling. Advances in Meteorology, 2016; Article ID 6130179.
- [36] Mann H B. Nonparametric tests against trend. Econometric, 1945; 13(3): 245–259.
- [37] Kendall M G. Rank correlation measures. Charles Griffin, London, 1975.
- [38] Fan J J, Huang Q, Chang J X, Sun D Y, Cui S. Detecting abrupt change of streamflow at Lintong Station of Wei River. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2013; Article ID 976591.
- [39] Guo A J, Chang J X, Huang Q, Sun J N. Quantitative analysis of the impacts of climate change and human activities on runoff change in Weihe Basin, Journal of Northwest A & F University: Natural Science Edition, 2014; 8: 32. (in Chinese)
- [40] Li G D, Tian H F, Peng J F, Liu Y R, Yin X. Time series characters of air temperature based on methods of a wavelet analysis and a Mann-Kendall test in Shangqiu. Journal of Meteorology and Environment, 2013; 29(3): 78–84.
- [41] Wang D, Tang Y. A one-parameter Budyko model for water balance captures emergent behavior in Darwinian hydrologic models. Geophysical Research Letters, 2014; 41(13): 4569–4577.
- [42] Savenije H H G. Determination of evaporation from a catchment water balance at a monthly time scale, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 1997; 1: 93–100.
- [43] Troch P A, Martinez G F, Pauwels V R N, Durcik M,

Sivapalan M, Harman C J, et al. Climate and vegetation water-use efficiency at catchment scales, Hydrol. Processes, 2009; 23: 2409–2414.

- [44] Thomas H A. Improved methods for national water assessment: final report USGS water resources contract WR15249270, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 44, 1981.
- [45] Wang D B, Hejazi M. Quantifying the relative contribution of the climate and direct human impacts on mean annual streamflow in the contiguous United States, Water Resources Research, 2011; 47(10): W00J12.
- [46] Berryman D, Bobee B, Cluis D, Haemmerli J. Non-parametric test for trend detection in water quality time series. Water Resour. Bull, 1988; 24: 545–556.
- [47] Gan T Y. Hydroclimatic trends and possible climatic warming in the Canadian prairies. Water Resour. Res., 1998; 34: 3009–3015.
- [48] Nash J E, Sutcliffe J V. River forcasting using conceptual models. 1: discussion of principles. J. Hydrol., 1970; 10: 280–290.
- [49] Moriasi D N, Arnold J G, Van Liew M W, Bingner R L, Harmel L D, Veith T L. Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. Transactions of the ASABE, 2007; 50(3): 885–900.
- [50] Moriasi D N, Gitau M W, Pai N, Youssef M A. Hydrologic and water quality models: Performance measures and evaluation criteria. Transactions of the ASABE, 2015; 58(6): 1763–1785.
- [51] Xu J H, Niu Y G. Effect of hydraulic engineering works on river flow and sediment load in the middle Yellow River Basin. Publishing House for Yellow River Water Conservancy, Zhengzhou, 2000; 1–296.