Impact of soil compaction on the engineering properties of potato tubers
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Abstract: A study on a 30 hm² field was conducted to assess the variability in soil compaction and to investigate its effect on the engineering properties of potato tubers in terms of tuber shape and key dimensions (length, width and thickness) and resistance to penetration, rupture and shear forces. Three soil compaction levels were spatially correlated with the engineering properties of potato tubers through linear regression and ANOVA test. The three compaction levels included a low level (C1) ranging between 1.2-1.9 MPa, a medium level (C2) with compaction levels between 2.0-2.3 MPa and a high level (C3) ranging between 2.4-2.9 MPa. Results revealed that there were no significant changes in the key tuber dimensions corresponding to the variability in soil compaction. However, inverse linear relationships were observed between soil compaction and the key tuber dimensions with R² values of 77%, 97% and 96% for length, width and thickness, respectively. Similarly, the soil compaction was shown to have no effect on the tuber resistance to compression and shear force. In contrast, the tuber resistance to penetration was significantly affected by soil compaction (p>F=0.0012).
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1 Introduction

Soil compaction is generally defined as the increase in soil density mostly due to the pressure on the soil[1]. The existence of compacted layers of dense soil near the soil surface is often attributed to the use of heavy machinery or the cultivation of wet soils. Deep compacted layers; however, may be caused by heavy harvesting machinery or trailers at times when the soil is too wet to withstand these high axle loads. The need to plant crops over a limited time window may increase the risk of soil compaction when working in soil of an inappropriate condition. A certain degree of soil compaction is needed for crop growth, so that a good seed-soil contact can help stabilize the roots and improve water absorption. In contrast, a very low soil compaction around potato tubers at the contact can help stabilize the roots and improve water absorption.

Soil compaction is an important factor deemed in soil physical properties, such as low soil moisture and high soil compaction, compared to other crops[2].

Under natural conditions, field soil physical properties were found to be of high horizontal and vertical spatial variability that can persist over time[3]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to study the spatiotemporal changes in soil properties, which introduces the need to use tools, such as geostatistics[4]. These tools allow study on the spatial and temporal distribution of soil properties, such as moisture and compaction[5], which are critical factors in vegetation cover and land-use changes[6]. Changes in these soil attributes can principally affect some important properties, such as particle size, soil structure and hydraulic conductivity[5]. Understanding the spatial variability of soil physicochemical characteristics in their dynamic forms (for example, compaction and water content) is necessary for site-specific management of agricultural practices, as they directly contribute to the variability in crop yield and quality[7].

Soil compaction is an important factor deemed in soil degradation. This is manifested by a reduction in the volume of soil and an increase in its bulk density, which reduces soil porosity and influences the shape and size distribution of the soil pores[8]. In industrial and developing countries, potatoes are particularly important in the food chain among agricultural products. Potatoes contain an amount of energy equivalent to 830 calories per kilogram[9]. There are some situations in which the determination of relationships among physical characteristics of agricultural products is necessary; for example, some fruits are graded by size[9]. Similarly, physical characteristics of agricultural products are the most important parameters for the design of grading, handling, processing and packaging systems, these physical characteristics include the mass, shape and volume, and the width, length and thickness[10,11].

Understanding the mechanical characteristics of potato tubers may improve harvesting and handling equipment and reduce economic losses. In addition to size and shape, the texture of tubers is also an important factor in the technical classification of
cultivars for potato chips and home use\textsuperscript{[12]}. In fact, potato tubers are not formed on a single plant at the same time during the growing season, and the continuous tuber growth results in the production of tubers of different sizes at harvest. The large variations in the mechanical properties of potato tubers can be also attributed to the physiological characteristics of the tubers, such as size and shape\textsuperscript{[12]}. However, the reason of varying degree of hardness within the tubers, associated with the structure of tissues for particular morphological elements of the tubers, is still undetermined\textsuperscript{[12]}. In the industry, the size (volume and diameter) is the most important parameter in classifying the fruit quality and is always a price determining factor\textsuperscript{[13]}. In the non-destructive technologies, the volume is viewed as a key indicator for fruits ripeness. Hahn and Sanches\textsuperscript{[14]} used these technologies to predict the optimum harvest time, and Mitchell\textsuperscript{[15]} used them to predict the yield of products. Ngouajio et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} investigated the relationship between the rate of expansion and the susceptibility to physiological disorder, such as fruit cracking. Similarly, Safa and Khazaei\textsuperscript{[17]} studied the physical properties of pomegranate and designed models for predicting fruit mass based on fruit dimensions, volume and surface area.

Most of the previous studies on soil compaction focused on cereal and forage crops rather than vegetables and fruits. Studies have shown that compacted soil has reduced the root size of peas, potatoes\textsuperscript{[18]}, soybeans\textsuperscript{[19]} and the fruit length/diameter ratio of cucumbers\textsuperscript{[20]}. It was also reported that higher mechanical impedance resulted in thicker roots of potatoes\textsuperscript{[21]} and peas\textsuperscript{[22]} in general, soil compaction can influence yield by decreasing the quality, weight and size of fruits\textsuperscript{[20]}.

Several studies focused on the accuracy and repeatability for the evaluation of potato tuber tissue hardness; however, standard parameters and conditions for determination of mechanical resistance are not commonly accepted. Numerous variants of small deformation tests\textsuperscript{[23]} have been proposed for the evaluation of the mechanical/textural parameters of raw and treated potato tubers. The compression test has been used on a large scale to evaluate the mechanical behavior of stored potato tissue, and the expression “longitudinal stiffness” is used to characterize tissue stiffness as a whole, which has been shown to increase with both cell wall stiffness and cell turgor pressure\textsuperscript{[24]}. Also, it was demonstrated that the stiffness of potato cell wall increased after the cell wall was plastically deformed.

Since soil compaction is considered as one of the most important factors in crop production systems, this study was designed to investigate the impact of soil compaction variability on selected engineering properties of potato tubers. These properties included key dimensions, shape, resistance to penetration, rupture and shear forces.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site

The study was conducted on a 30 ha\textsuperscript{2} (half-pivot size) field located in the property of the Agricultural Development Company in Wadi Al Dawasir area 740 km south of Riyadh, the capital city of Saudi Arabia. The soil of the study field was characterized as a sandy soil and the field was under potato (Spunta potatoes) cultivation during the period from November 2016 to March 2017. The climatic aspects of the study area were characterized by temperatures ranging from 6°C to 43°C, a stable relative humidity of about 24%, a solar radiation of average sunrise duration of 11 h, an average wind speed of 13 km/h and up to 46 km/h in thunder storm incidents and a mean annual rainfall of about 37.6 mm\textsuperscript{[25]}.

2.2 Sampling strategy

The experimental field was sampled on 50 m × 50 m grid cells producing 120 data points. Soil samples were collected, along with compaction measurements recorded at the center of each sampling grid cell, and georeferenced using a hand-held GPS receiver (Trimble GeoXH). Figure 1 show the study field overlaid by the sampling grid cells.
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Figure 1 The study field overlaid by the sampling grid cells

Soil compaction was measured at the pre-determined field locations for four successive periods of field measurements using the handheld penetrometer (Eijkelkamp device with a 10 mm diameter and a cone angle of 60\degree). The penetrometer was equipped with a complete set suitable for measurements up to a depth of 80 cm. Soil compaction measurements were achieved by pushing the penetrometer vertically into the soil at an approximate speed of 2 cm/s, as recommended by the device manufacturer\textsuperscript{[26]}. Five soil compaction measurements surrounding each sampling point, at the depth of 0-30 cm, were taken and then averaged out to represent that point. Compaction measurements (MPa) were conducted four times during the potato crop life span with a
frequency of 40-45 d. During each compaction measurement, soil moisture samples were collected and analyzed in order to assess the soil status for compaction value rectification.

In-situ collection of potato yield samples was performed by harvesting the crop in an area of 3 m² at each sampling point. The delineation of the collection area was performed using a measuring tape and flags, where small trowels were used for harvesting the potatoes within the delineated area. The collected potato samples were packed in small sacks, weighed and up-scaled to the ordinary yield unit (t/ha²).

### 2.3 Engineering properties of potato tubers

Collected potato tuber samples were analyzed at the laboratory for their shape, size, and resistance to penetration, shear and compression forces. All measurements were conducted at the laboratory of Food Engineering of the Department of Agricultural Engineering, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University.

#### 2.4 Potato tuber shape index

Three mutually perpendicular axes of the potato tubers were measured with a digital Vernier caliper (Figure 2), where the tuber sample was set on a flat surface. The longest intercept (L) represented the tuber length, the longest intercept (W) perpendicular to L represented the tuber width and the longest intercept (T) perpendicular to W and L represented the tuber thickness\(^{27}\). Three randomized samples of potato tubers were carefully obtained at harvesting (fresh tubers) for the 120 points across the field. Hence, the shape index of the measured samples was calculated according to Ismail\(^{29}\), as in Equation (1):

\[
I = \frac{L}{\sqrt{WT}}
\]

where, \(I\) is the shape index, \(L\) is the potato tuber length, mm; \(W\) is the tuber width, mm; and \(T\) is the tuber thickness, mm.

The obtained index values were compared to the recommended limits and used to classify the tubers into two main classes (spherical and oval shapes). Tubers with \(I<1.5\) were classified as spherical shaped; however, tubers with \(I \geq 1.5\) were categorized as oval shaped\(^{29}\).

#### 2.5 Mechanical properties of potato tubers

The mechanical property test device (Texture Analyzer TA-HDi, Model HD3128, Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) used in this study was adaptable with many probes that have been used for tuber sample penetration test. The device was controlled by a computer software program (Texture expert exceeds version 2.05) that can help in data analysis, depicts the relationships of distance with time and concludes some of mechanical characteristics.

#### 2.6 Compression test

The compression tests of the tubers were conducted using the Texture Analyzer device that was equipped with a 55 mm (P75) plunger and a load cell of 250 kN (Figure 3). The strength and deformation of the samples were measured using a 31 mm deep sample pulp. Different mechanical properties were calculated from distance and power relationships when the sample was compressed. The module of elasticity was estimated by calculating the straight line slope in a given section of the force-slope-distance through the flexible phase\(^{30}\). This is called the elasticity factor in a compression phase, which refers to the penetration module in a penetration stage. The rupture point, which is affected by the compression, depends on a number of factors, including the composition of the nutrient and the homogeneity of the tested sample. For this study, this test was intended to investigate the effect of soil compaction on the production of spatially variable potato tubers based on different structures and resistances.

#### 2.7 Penetration test

A penetration test was applied on the potato tubers using a cylindrical penetration probe of 2.0 mm diameter to a depth of 10 mm from the tuber surface (Figure 4a). This test was assumed to be important in order to determine the resistance of the potato crust to the occurrence of cracks when handled in production lines and packaging. In addition, the test was also important when estimating potato resistance to insect penetration.

#### 2.8 Shear test

Potato tuber resistance to shear forces was laboratory tested using the same device in the penetration test after replacing the penetration probe by a slicing knife (Figure 4b). The test was conducted in order to examine the impact of soil compaction on the hardness of tubers’ wall and their resistance to the unexpected cut or slicing that could occur during harvesting.

#### 2.9 Statistical analysis of the results

The collected data was subjected to the ANOVA statistical test to identify the significant treatments and/or the interaction effects by the ‘F test’ using the SAS software program (SAS Systems for Windows, release 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The mean separation between the significant treatments was also calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of soil compaction on the engineering properties of potato tubers

Descriptive statistical results of tuber length (L), width (W), thickness (T) and shape index (I), along with associated standard
deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) values, are presented in Table 1. Soil compaction variability was represented, across the study field, by three compaction levels, namely, the low level (C1) with compaction values of 1.2-1.9 MPa, the medium level (C2) with compaction values of 2.0-2.3 MPa and the high compaction level (C3) with compaction values of 2.4-2.9 MPa. Three potato tubers were randomly taken for each sampling point to measure the above mentioned main dimensions. Results revealed that the average length of potato tubers was higher in the areas of low compaction level. Values of the tuber shape index for the three compaction levels were all below 1.5, indicating that the tubers fell within the spherical shape category. This is in agreement with the study conducted by Ismail [28], where he reported that the tuber shape of the studied potato variety (Spunta) was considered as spherical.

Table 1  Statistics of the tuber key dimensions and shape index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Compaction level</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuber length/mm</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>127.9</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>13.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuber width/mm</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>16.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>15.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuber thickness/mm</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape index</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>14.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>9.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variability analysis was also conducted in order to examine the effect of soil compaction on the tuber key dimensions (length, width and thickness). The impact of soil compaction on the key tuber dimensions is shown in Figure 5.

Table 2  ANOVA results for tuber dimensions at different soil compaction levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical Analysis</th>
<th>Main dimensions</th>
<th>Width/mm</th>
<th>Thickness/mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>p&gt;F</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (C1)</td>
<td>94.492*</td>
<td>0.3967</td>
<td>66.442**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (C2)</td>
<td>87.828**</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.911**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (C3)</td>
<td>87.619*</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.844**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p<0.05.

3.2 Tuber resistance to shear, compression and penetration forces

The impact of soil compaction on the physical properties of the collected tuber samples was investigated. The investigated properties included the tuber resistance to shear, compression and penetration forces. ANOVA test was employed for the analysis in order to study the effect of the spatial variation in soil compaction on the physical structure of potato tubers. The analyzed results (Table 3) basically showed that there was no significant change, as a result of compaction variability, on the potato tuber compression and shear forces with p>F values of 0.5536 and 0.3192 for compression and shear forces, respectively. However, for the tuber penetration resistance, an indication of high significant influence of soil compaction was observed (p>F=0.0012). In fact, no clear cause was identified, but these tests may confirm the complexity of potato tissues and how their mechanical properties changed during storage. This is coincident with the results reported by Pang and Scanlon [32] that both small-strain oscillatory shear and uniaxial compression indicated that potato parenchyma is anisotropic in nature.

Table 3  ANOVA results for tuber physical properties at different soil compaction levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compression test</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>p&gt;F</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>p&gt;F</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>p&gt;F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bio yield force/N</td>
<td>1250.97**</td>
<td>0.5536</td>
<td>1360.75**</td>
<td></td>
<td>1246.56*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/N·m</td>
<td>20.80*</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>23.56**</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.20**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Penetration Force/N</td>
<td>9.99*</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>10.82**</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.09*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/N·m</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td>0.09**</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Shear Force/N</td>
<td>158.95*</td>
<td>0.3192</td>
<td>168.66**</td>
<td></td>
<td>204.09**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/kg·mm</td>
<td>5.015*</td>
<td>5.50**</td>
<td>5.94**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: p<0.05.
4 Conclusions

A field study was carried out in order to investigate the impact of the spatial variation of soil compaction on physical properties of potato tubers. Three compaction levels were included in the study which encompassed low compaction level of 1.2-1.9 MPa, medium compaction level of 2.0-2.3 MPa and high compaction level of 2.4-2.9 MPa. Specific conclusions of the study can be listed as the following:

The engineering tuber properties (tuber key dimensions) were found to be spatially correlated with the different soil compaction levels. However, the tuber shape index values of <1.5 under all compaction levels indicated that the shape of the studied potato variety was considered as spherical and independent from compaction.

Tuber key dimensions were found to be inversely correlated to soil compaction with $R^2$ values of 0.77%, 97% and 96% for tuber length, width and thickness, respectively. However, the ANOVA results indicated that there was no significant response of tuber key dimensions to soil compaction, with $p>F$ values of 0.3967, 0.4833 and 0.3132 for compression and shear forces, respectively. However, a high significant influence of soil compaction on tuber penetration resistance was observed ($p>F$ = 0.0012).

Finally, future studies were recommended on the impact of genetic diversity on changes of its physicochemical properties corresponding to spatial changes in soil compaction.
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